PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTI	ORITY		
To: NICHOLAS PIRAMAL INDIA LIMITI NICHOLAS PIRAMAL TOWER PENISULA CORPORATE PARK			PCT
GANPATRAO KADAM MARG, LOW MUMBAI (MAHARASHTRAI, INDIA	ER PAREL . 400 013		VRITTEN OPINION OF THE FIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year	0 9 FEB 2006
Applicant's or agent's file reference NPTC 05		FOR FURTHE	
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB05/51103 International Patent Classification (IPC):	04 April 2005 (04.04.20	05)	04 April 2004 (04.04.2004)
		on and IPC	
IPC(7): A61K 35/78 and US C1.: 424/725 Applicant	<u> </u>		
NICHOLAS PIRAMAL INDIA	LIMITED		
1. This opinion contains indications rela	ating to the following item	s:	
Box No. I Basis of the	opinion		
Box No. II Priority			•
Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability
	y of invention		, and approximately
Box No. V Reasoned st	atement under Rule 43hie	l(a)(i) with magnet	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
applicability	; citations and explanation	s supporting such	statement
Box No. VI Certain dobu	uments cited		
Box No. VII Certain defe	cts in the international app	lication	
Box No. VIII Certain obse	rvations on the internation	al application	
2. FURTHER ACTION	:		
If a demand for international preliminary Examining	ie IPRA and the channy	opt that this does	be considered to be a written opinion of the s not apply where the applicant chooses an he International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) lered.
If this opinion is, as provided above, IPEA a written reply together, where of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the experience of the provided above, and the second points are personal to the provided above.	considered to be a writte appropriate, with amendm	n opinion of the I	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the operation of 3 months from the date of mailing
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA	V220.	priority date,	whichever expires later.
3. For further details, see notes to Form F	CT/ISA/220.		-
*			* *
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Ath: ISA/US	Date of completion	on of this opinion	Authorized officer Marilla Mari
Commissioner for Patents .	25 January 2006	_	Michele Flood MICHELE FLOOD
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			FAIMARY EXAMINER
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 orm PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005			Telephone No. 571-272-1600
Cover sheet) (April 2005	•}		

WRITTEN	OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International applies No.
PCT/IB05/51103

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion	
	•	ion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application	in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international international search (Rules 12.)	l application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of 3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With invent	regard to any nucleotide and/or tion, this opinion has been estab	amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed lished on the basis of:
a.	type of nuterial	
	a sequence listing	*
	table(s) related to the sec	uence listing
b.	format of material	
	on paper	
	in electronic form	
c.	time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the internal	ional application as filed.
	filed together with the in	ternational application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently t	o this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	or turnished, the required stat	re than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed ements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	onal comments:	
	•	
		·
	:	
	:	
		•
	:	
	•	·
	. :	
	: !	
	; ; ;	
orm PCT	IS/V237(Box No. I) (April 200	5)

WRITTEN OP NION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB05/51103

Novelty (N) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-17	1. Statement		
Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Claims 1-17 Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method compost french disorders or nephritic syndrome. Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claim 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) a	. !		
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method completenal disorders or nephritic syndrome. Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the control of the treatment of the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims to the claims t	Novelty (N)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method complete administration of a standardized extract of Tinospora cordifolia in conjunction with either an antibiotic or a steroid for the treation of the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Art		Claims NONE	N
Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method complete administration of a standardized extract of Tinospora cordifolia in conjunction with either an antibiotic or a steroid for the treations 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the claims 1-17 meet the criter	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-17	**
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-17 Claims NONE Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method complete administration of a standardized extract of Tinospora cordifolia in conjunction with either an antibiotic or a steroid for the treat disorders or nephritic syndrome.			Y
Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method compare administration of a standardized extract of Tinospora cordifolia in conjunction with either an antibiotic or a steroid for the treatment of the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the chiral hand in the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(4) and thus the criteria set out in FCT Art	7		
Citations and explanations: Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method complete administration of a standardized extract of <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> in conjunction with either an antibiotic or a steroid for the treat disorders or nephritic syndrome.	Industrial applicability (IA)		Y
Claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method complete administration of a standardized extract of <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> in conjunction with either an antibiotic or a steroid for the treat disorders or nephritic syndrome.	İ	Claims NONE	N
claims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in FCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method complete administration of a standardized extract of <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> in conjunction with either an antibiotic or a steroid for the treat disorders or nephritic syndrome.	Gu		
f renal disorders or nephritic syndrome.	,		
laims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33/4) and thus the state of the		Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly	suggest a method compr
laims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus the claims have industrial applicability because the subject ma laimed can be made or used in industry.	frenal disorders or nephritic syndrome.	2 mospora coragona in conjunction with either an antibiotic	or a steroid for the treatr
armed can be made or used in industry.	laims 1-17 meet the criteria set out in PCT	article 33(4), and thus the claims have industrial applicability	hecause the subject matt
	aimed can be made or used in industry:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	:		
			•
		•	
	:		
	·		•
	:		
	:		
	į !		
	į		
	÷		
	i	•	
	; !		
	!		• *
·	•		
	PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)		